IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG

CASE NO: 26911/2016

In the matter between:

DANIEL TERBLANCHE N.O.	First Applicant
PIERS MICHAEL MARSDEN N.O.	Second Applicant
and	
AIR LIQUIDE (PTY) LTD	First Respondent
EVRAZ HIGHVELD STEEL AND VANADIUM LIMITED (IN BUSINESS RESCUE)	Second Respondent
THE CREDITORS OF THE SECOND RESPONDENT LISTED IN ANNEXURE A TO THIS NOTICE OF MOTION	Third Respondents
THE EMPLOYEES OF THE SECOND RESPONDENT LISTED IN ANNEXURE B TO THIS NOTICE OF MOTION	Fourth Respondents
NATIONAL UNION OF METALWORKERS OF SOUTH AFRICA	Fifth Respondent
SOLIDARITY	Sixth Respondent
THE SHAREHOLDERS OF THE SECOND RESPONDENT LISTED IN ANNEXURE C TO THIS NOTICE OF MOTION	Seventh Respondents

NOTICE OF COUNTER-APPLICATION

BE PLEASED TO TAKE NOTICE that the first respondent intends to counter-apply to

this Honourable Court for an order in the following terms: -

- Declaring that an order cancelling the obligations of the second respondent on the terms set out in paragraph 1 of the notice of motion in the main application amounts to a repudiatory breach on the part of the second respondent of the agreement concluded between the first respondent and the second respondent on 7 December 2011 and amended on 9 October 2012 ("the Supply Agreement") giving rise to a claim for damages on the part of the first respondent against the second respondent.
- 2. Declaring that:
 - 2.1. the first respondent is not bound by paragraph 24.2 of the Business Rescue Plan ("the Plan");
 - 2.2. clause 20.12 of the Supply Agreement does not impose any limitation on the first respondent's claim for damages arising from a cancellation of the second respondent's obligations under the Supply Agreement on the terms set out in paragraph 1 of the notice of motion in the main application;
 - 2.3. the first respondent is entitled to submit and the applicants are obliged to accept a claim to the full extent of the first respondent's duly mitigated claim for damages discounted to a present day value in the business rescue proceedings and that any dispute regarding the quantification thereof is to be determined in accordance with the dispute resolution mechanism in paragraph 38 of the Plan;

Page 3 of 6

- 2.4. the first respondent is entitled to be paid and the applicants are directed to pay the first respondent a dividend based on the full amount of the first respondent's damages claim in the business rescue proceedings.
- 3. Declaring that:
 - 3.1. the first respondent is entitled to continue to receive a supply of water according to its requirements from the Highveld Steel water pipeline ("the pipeline") and to have its waste water managed by Highveld Steel's waste water treatment facility ("waste water facility"), on reasonable commercial terms;
 - 3.2. to that end, the second respondent and/or the applicants is/are obliged to include the first respondent in any agreement concluded by the second respondent and/or the applicants with any third party or parties concerning the ownership and continued operation of the pipeline and waste water facility.
- 4. Directing that the applicants pay the costs of this counter-application, including the costs consequent upon the employment of two counsel, as costs of the business rescue proceedings.
- 5. Granting the first respondent further and/or alternative relief.

TAKE NOTICE that the answering affidavit of **Amine Houssaim** in the main application, together with the attachments thereto, shall be used in support of this application.

TAKE NOTICE FURTHER THAT if you intend opposing this application you are required to:

- a) notify the first respondent's attorneys in writing within 5 days of the service of this notice of counter-application on you;
- b) within 15 days after you have so given your intention to oppose the application, to
 file your answering affidavits, if any; and
- appoint in such notification an address referred to in rule 6(5)(b) at which you will accept notice and service of all documents in these proceedings.

TAKE NOTICE FURTHER THAT the application will be made at the hearing of the main application.

DATED AT JOHANNESBURG ON THIS THE 25th DAY OF NOVEMBER 2016.

VAN HULSTEYNS ATTORNEYS First Respondent's Attorneys 3rd Floor, Katherine & West Building Cnr. Katherine and West Streets Sandton Email: <u>andrew@vhlaw.co.za</u> Ref: Mr Legg/MAT10034

TO: THE REGISTRAR OF THE ABOVE HONOURABLE COURT JOHANNESBURG

AND TO: EDWARD NATHAN SONNENBERGS INC

Applicants' Attorneys 150 West Street Sandton Email: <u>lfield@ens.co.za</u> Ref: L Field

Received a copy hereof on this the _____ day of November 2016.

For: Applicants' Attorneys

AND TO: EVRAZ HIGHVELD STEEL AND VANADIUM LIMITED

(IN BUSINESS RESCUE) Second Respondent Care of Matuson Associates (Attention Piers Marsdon) Cnr Glenhove Road & Ninth Street Melrose Estate 2196 Johannesburg

SERVICE BY THE SHERIFF

AND TO: THE CREDITORS OF THE SECOND RESPONDENT LISTED IN ANNEXURE A TO THIS NOTICE OF MOTION Third Respondents PER SUBSTITUTED SERVICE

AND TO: THE EMPLOYEES OF THE SECOND RESPONDENT LISTED IN ANNEXURE B TO THIS NOTICE OF MOTION Fourth Respondents PER SUBSTITUTED SERVICE

AND TO: NATIONAL UNION OF METALWORKERS OF SOUTH AFRICA *Fifth Respondent* NUMSA Head Office 153 Lillian Ngoyi Street Cnr Gerald Sekoto Street Newtown 2001 Johannesburg

SERVICE BY THE SHERIFF

AND TO: SOLIDARITY

Sixth Respondent Solidarity Head Office Corner DF Malan and Eendracht Street Kloofsig 0157

SERVICE BY THE SHERIFF

AND TO: THE SHAREHOLDERS OF THE SECOND RESPONDENT LISTED IN ANNEXURE C TO THIS NOTICE OF MOTION Seventh Respondents PER SUBSTITUTED SERVICE